

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS  
WESTERN DIVISION**

|  |   |                                      |
|--|---|--------------------------------------|
| <b>In re:</b>                                    | : | <b>MDL Docket No. 4:03CV1507-WRW</b> |
|  | : | <b>4:06CV01512</b>                   |
| <b>PREMPRO PRODUCTS LIABILITY<br/>LITIGATION</b> | : |                                      |
|  | : |                                      |
|  | : |                                      |
| <b>MIRIAM MANCA, et al.</b>                      | : | <b>PLAINTIFFS</b>                    |
|  | : |                                      |
| <b>v.</b>  | : |                                      |
|  | : |                                      |
| <b>WYETH, INC., et al.</b>                       | : | <b>DEFENDANTS</b>                    |

**ORDER**

The Clerk of the Court brought this case to my attention. I have reviewed the case and believe that it is contrary to my numerous orders on multi-plaintiff complaints as well as the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Under Rule 21 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, every Plaintiff<sup>1</sup> other than the first named Plaintiff, Miriam Manca,<sup>2</sup> is DROPPED from this civil action as follows:

(1) dropped plaintiffs have 30 days from the date of this Order to file and serve new, individual<sup>3</sup> complaints in a proper venue;

---

<sup>1</sup>The Clerk of the Court need not list all 192 Plaintiffs' names in the docket sheet for this case. To do so would be an act in futility because (1) the plaintiffs would be dismissed in thirty days anyway; (2) the docket sheet we received from the transferor court is incomplete, which would result in a incomplete list of plaintiffs' names.

<sup>2</sup>Miriam Manca will remain in the original lawsuit, but must amend her complaint in accordance with this Order.

<sup>3</sup>An exception to this Order is that a plaintiff and her spouse and children (or any other associated derivative claimant) need not be severed from each other.

(2) without further order of the Court, claims of dropped plaintiffs who do not file new civil actions within the 30 day period will be considered dismissed without prejudice;

(3) dropped plaintiffs are deemed to have ongoing MDL No. 1507 actions in this Court for all purposes during the time period between the date of this Order and the filing of their new complaint in the appropriate venue, or after the passage of the 30 day period -- whichever is first;

(4) for the application of statutes of limitations, laches, or other time bar laws, the filing date of a newly filed action pursuant to this Order will be deemed to relate back to the date that the dropped plaintiff originally filed her complaint -- insofar as the new complaint alleges only the claims alleged in the original complaint and joins only the defendants named (or fewer) in the original complaint or the successors of such original defendants;

(5) within 40 days of the date of this Order, the remaining plaintiff, Ms. Miriam Manca, must cure her complaint;

(6) counsel is reminded of their obligations under MDL Panel Rule 7.5(e) and directed to promptly notify the MDL Panel of the new case number designated to the case in the receiving district.

The Clerk of the Court is directed to send a contemporaneous copy of this order to the MDL panel to allow, to the extent possible, fast-tracking of §1407 transfers of these cases back to MDL-1507 for coordinated and consolidated pretrial proceedings.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 30th day of October, 2006.

/s/ Wm. R. Wilson, Jr.  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE